

# Roosevelt Elementary School

## 2024-2025 School Accountability Report Card

(Published During the 2025-2026 School Year)



EST. 1951

# ROOSEVELT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

### SARC Overview



By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>
- For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest



DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### California School Dashboard



The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) <https://www.caschooldashboard.org/> reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Admission Requirements for the University of California (UC)

Admission requirements for the UC follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information website at <https://admission.universityofcalifornia.edu/>.

### Admission Requirements for the California State University (CSU)

Eligibility for admission to the CSU is determined by three factors: (1) Specific high school courses, (2) Grades in specified courses and test scores, and (3) Graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information, see the CSU website at <https://www2.calstate.edu/>.

## 2025-26 School Contact Information

<b>School Name</b>	Roosevelt Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	750 Bradford St, Redwood City
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Redwood City, CA 94063
<b>Phone Number</b>	(650) 482-2413
<b>Principal</b>	Tina Mercer
<b>Email Address</b>	tmercer@rcsdk8.net
<b>School Website</b>	<a href="https://roosevelt.rcsdk8.net">https://roosevelt.rcsdk8.net</a>
<b>Grade Span</b>	K-5
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	41-69005-6044531

## 2025-26 District Contact Information

<b>District Name</b>	Redwood City School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(650) 482-2200
<b>Superintendent</b>	Dr. John Baker, Ed.D.
<b>Email Address</b>	jbaker@rcsdk8.net
<b>District Website</b>	www.rcsdk8.net

## 2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

### School Mission Statement

The mission of Roosevelt Elementary School is to inspire students to DREAM of their futures, BELIEVE in themselves, and ACHIEVE their goals. (Dream it, Believe it, Achieve it)

### School Profile

Roosevelt Elementary School is a TK-5th grade school rooted in a tradition of excellence and delivering a 21st century education based on critical thinking, problem-solving, using technology, and working together. Students are challenged to work cooperatively, think critically, and present their work in front of an audience. A variety of engagement strategies are used to

## 2025-26 School Description and Mission Statement

engage students and encourage their participation in a rigorous curriculum. Our supportive community of teachers, staff, and parents ensure that students are successful in all aspects of their learning.

Roosevelt Elementary School follows the community school model that cares for the whole child by providing additional safety net, mental health, and support services. The Family Center is a resource on campus for both students and their families and works with partner organizations to enhance and further create an enriching and engaging environment.

## About this School

### 2024-25 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Transitional Kindergarten (TK)	19
Kindergarten	71
Grade 1	39
Grade 2	55
Grade 3	64
Grade 4	64
Grade 5	70
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>382</b>

### 2024-25 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	47.1
Male	52.9
Asian	2.6
Black or African American	0.3
Filipino	0.5
Hispanic or Latino	72.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1.3
Two or More Races	6.3
White	16.5
English Learners	43.2
Homeless	8.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	70.2
Students with Disabilities	22.5

## **A. Conditions of Learning** **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## 2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	20.7	65.6	267.9	71.82	234405.2	84
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0.5	1.74	10.4	2.8	4853	1.74
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	2.4	7.7	39	10.45	12001.5	4.3
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	1.7	5.55	20.7	5.56	11953.1	4.28
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	6.1	19.38	34.9	9.36	15831.9	5.67
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	31.6	100	373.1	100	279044.8	100

Note: The data in this table is based on full-time equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2022-23 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	13.6	56.48	217.6	63.75	231142.4	83.24
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	1	4.13	6.2	1.83	5566.4	2
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	5	20.92	67.7	19.84	14938.3	5.38
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.5	2.06	14.7	4.31	11746.9	4.23
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	3.9	16.34	35	10.27	14303.8	5.15
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	24.2	100	341.4	100	277698	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## 2023-24 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
<b>Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)</b>	12.3	54.69	223	60	230039.4	100
<b>Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned</b>	0	0	5.9	1.61	6213.8	2.23
<b>Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (“ineffective” under ESSA)</b>	8.4	37.75	100	26.91	16855	6.04
<b>Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (“out-of-field” under ESSA)</b>	0.4	1.87	23.5	6.35	12112.8	4.34
<b>Unknown/Incomplete/NA</b>	1.2	5.6	19	5.13	13705.8	4.91
<b>Total Teaching Positions</b>	22.4	100	371.7	100	278927.1	100

Note: The data in this table is based on FTE status. One FTE equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

## Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered “ineffective” under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
<b>Permits and Waivers</b>	0.00	0	0
<b>Misassignments</b>	2.40	5	8.4
<b>Vacant Positions</b>	0.00	0	0
<b>Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments</b>	2.40	5	8.4

## Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered “out-of-field” under ESSA)

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
<b>Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver</b>	1.00	0.2	0
<b>Local Assignment Options</b>	0.70	0.2	0.4
<b>Total Out-of-Field Teachers</b>	1.70	0.5	0.4

## Class Assignments

Indicator	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
<b>Misassignments for English Learners</b> (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	10.5	28.1	33.8
<b>No credential, permit or authorization to teach</b> (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	1.2	2	11.1

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp>.

## 2025-26 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

All Redwood City School District students have equal access to state adopted, standards aligned textbooks and instructional materials. Funds are appropriated according to the textbook adoption cycle.

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Redwood City School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2025-2026 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment. All students, including English Learners, are required to be given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials (in the four core subject areas: English language arts, mathematics, history/social studies and science), for use in the classroom and to take home if necessary. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the District must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and Frameworks, with final approval by the State Board of Education.

The Redwood City School District Textbook selection is done by a District, grade level and subject area committees coordinated by Executive Directors of Educational Services. The final textbook selections for grades TK-8 from the current approved State lists are then selected and the recommendation goes before the School Board for final approval.

The chart below displays data collected during the August 2025 District Textbook Audit, in regards to student editions in use at Roosevelt School during the current school year (2025-2026).

<b>Year and month in which the data were collected</b>	August 2025
--	-------------

Subject	List of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials / Indicate if from Most Recent Adoption / Year of Adoption	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
<b>Reading/Language Arts</b>	Benchmark Advance/ Adelante California Edition - Benchmark Education Company ©2015, Kindergarten through 5th Grade – State Approved, Board Adopted in 2017	0%
<b>Mathematics</b>	Imagine Learning Illustrative Mathematics, ©2021 Kindergarten through 5th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2023	0%
<b>Science</b>	Full Option Science System NGSS (FOSS) Delta Education, Inc. ©2013, Kindergarten through 5th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2008	0%
<b>History-Social Science</b>	Macmillan / McGraw – Hill California Vistas ©2005, Kindergarten through 5th Grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2006	0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Roosevelt School was originally constructed in 1953 and is currently comprised of 33 classrooms, a preschool facility, one computer lab, one library, two multipurpose rooms (one acting as a cafeteria), one staff room, two staff workrooms, three blacktops, three play structures (two downstairs and two upstairs), and a field. One of the staff workrooms is to be converted into two speech classrooms.

The school was completely modernized in 1995, and further renovated in 2000 and 2002 as the result of two separate bond projects (Measures A & B). Measure A renovations were completed by November 2001 and included the addition of two new classrooms, two reclaimed classrooms, and a new library/ media center. Measure B projects have been completed at variable times over the past three years and have included/will include: improvements to play field; construction of a 4,500 square foot multi-purpose room and asphalt pathway from new classrooms to upper playground; installation of energy efficient lighting in older buildings; resurfacing of upper and lower playgrounds; rebuilding of drainage systems, the upper parking lot, and the entrance road; replacing of window coverings, areas behind play field backstops, and fencing; and campus-wide American Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements. The school was painted in 2013. Solar panels were added to the upper campus blacktop in 2019. The upper campus blacktop was resurfaced due to cracking in 2019. The recent bond measure redesigned the main office and modernized classrooms.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

12/04/25

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		X		WO # 116770 High Storage WO # 116760 High Storage WO # 116772 high Storage
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical</b>	X			WO # 116761 Multiple appliances need to be removed from the outlet and its circuit to prevent overcharging or tripping. Rm 6, Rm 21, rm 30 and rm 31
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			WO # 116764 Faucets do not work near rm 20 WO # 116762 Install sanitary napkin dispensers in all girl bathroom including 1 boys bathroom
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			WO # 116865 Door covered with paper fire hazard Rm 29
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

## Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

#### Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).

#### College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	16	27	42	46	47	48
<b>Mathematics</b> (grades 3-8 and 11)	18	22	35	38	35	37

## 2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	203	197	97.04	2.96	27.41
<b>Female</b>	91	88	96.70	3.30	28.41
<b>Male</b>	112	109	97.32	2.68	26.61
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Asian</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Filipino</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	160	154	96.25	3.75	22.08
<b>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	23	23	100.00	0.00	43.48
<b>English Learners</b>	97	91	93.81	6.19	13.19
<b>Foster Youth</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Homeless</b>	--	--	--	--	--
<b>Military</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	116	110	94.83	5.17	23.64
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	47	47	100.00	0.00	8.51

## 2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	203	203	100.00	0.00	21.67
Female	91	91	100.00	0.00	19.78
Male	112	112	100.00	0.00	23.21
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	160	160	100.00	0.00	14.38
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	23	23	100.00	0.00	39.13
English Learners	97	97	100.00	0.00	9.28
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	116	116	100.00	0.00	13.79
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	47	47	100.00	0.00	2.13

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated in a science assessment.

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Subject	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
<b>Science</b> (grades 5, 8 and high school)	6.78	11.11	31.17	33.12	30.73	32.52

## 2024-25 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	72	72	100.00	0.00	11.11
Female	33	33	100.00	0.00	12.12
Male	39	39	100.00	0.00	10.26
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	56	56	100.00	0.00	5.36
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	30	30	100.00	0.00	6.67
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39	39	100.00	0.00	7.69
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	21	21	100.00	0.00	0.00

### B. Pupil Outcomes

#### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

## 2024-25 California Physical Fitness Test Participation Rates

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. The administration of the PFT requires only participation results for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

#### 2025-26 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents play an important role at Roosevelt Elementary School through active participation and involvement in the School Site Council, Parent Teacher Association (PTA), Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) Committee, English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC), Facilities Master Plan committee, and other support committees. All meetings are offered in both English and Spanish. Roosevelt has a very active PTA who fundraise and plan family fun nights to bring our families and staff together during the year. These include activities such as Welcome Dinner Dance, Spooktacular Bingo, Special Persons Dance, and Lego Night.

Parents and community members are also involved in Roosevelt classrooms and can be found throughout the day working alongside teachers to support student learning, and they also act as chaperones on field trips. All parents are asked to volunteer their time and talents during the school year. Several times a year, families are invited to attend presentations in the classrooms as well as attend Back to School Night and Open House. Parents and community members have also acted as guest speakers in some classes.

Parents who wish to participate in Roosevelt Elementary School's activities and school committees or become a volunteer may contact the school at (650) 482-2413. The parent PTA website is: [www.roosevelt-pta.com](http://www.roosevelt-pta.com).

## 2024-25 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	409	404	88	21.8
Female	187	186	40	21.5
Male	222	218	48	22.0
Non-Binary	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	11	11	3	27.3
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	299	297	74	24.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	25	24	6	25.0
White	66	64	3	4.7
English Learners	178	177	48	27.1
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--
Homeless	52	52	17	32.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	291	289	81	28.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	107	106	31	29.2

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

### C. Engagement

#### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

## Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions data.

Suspensions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
4.67	3.11	0.24	3.39	2.52	1.27	3.6	3.28	2.94

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

This table displays expulsions data.

Expulsions								
School 2022-23	School 2023-24	School 2024-25	District 2022-23	District 2023-24	District 2024-25	State 2022-23	State 2023-24	State 2024-25
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.08	0.07	0.06

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

## 2024-25 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.24	0.00
Female	0.53	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
Non-Binary	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.33	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.56	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.34	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

Note: To protect student privacy, double dashes (--) are used in the table when the cell size within a selected student population is ten or fewer.

## 2025-26 School Safety Plan

The School Site Safety Plan is evaluated and revised annually in the fall by members of the School Site Council. Revisions to the Safety Plan are communicated to staff members annually. Key elements of the safety plan focus on emergency procedures and disaster preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during an emergency. Drills are held monthly and include fire drills, secure campus/lock-down drills, and earthquake drills. The two after-school programs (REACH and Catalyst Kids) also review safety protocols with students and staff should an emergency occur while students are engaged in the after-school program on campus. The safety plan for 2025-26 was discussed and approved by the School Site Council on December 9, 2025.

Safety of students and staff is a primary importance for Roosevelt School. To ensure student safety, supervision is provided on campus at all times when students are present. Teachers, administrators, and paid yard duty personnel supervise students before and after school and during recess and lunch. All visitors to the school must sign in and out at the office, wear a visitor badge, and must receive prior authorization for classroom visits. During the instructional day, students are only released to family members and identified adults over the age of 18 who are listed in the Powerschool system and who show picture identification.

The School Site Council (SSC) and Parent Teacher Association (PTA) have been working to improve student safety during drop-off and pick-up times. In 2011-12, speed bumps and a drop off zone were added on Vera Avenue to improve traffic flow and speed. In 2013-14, a drop-off zone and crosswalk was added to the Euclid Avenue side of the school. Roosevelt Elementary School administration collaborates with the CDC pre-school program and the Rainbow program to make sure that challenges in the parking lot are addressed swiftly. The principal and a custodian support safe drop-off in the upper parking lot each morning. The Roosevelt community is working with Safe Routes to School through the City of Redwood City to increase safety around school, and in 2024-25 school year added a 15 mph zone around Roosevelt Elementary School.

## D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## 2022-23 Elementary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Courses" indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level Courses.

Grade Level	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-20 Students	Number of Courses with 21-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
K	13	4	2	0
1	13	5	3	0
2	14	4	3	0
3	19	3	3	0
4	21	2	2	0
5	16	3	3	0
6	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2023-24 Elementary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Courses” indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level Courses.

Grade Level	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-20 Students	Number of Courses with 21-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
K	14	3	0	0
1	14	3	2	0
2	15	4	3	0
3	16	4	2	0
4	16	4	3	0
5	16	3	2	0
6	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0

## 2024-25 Elementary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2024-25 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Courses” indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The “Other” category is for multi-grade level Courses.

Grade Level	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-20 Students	Number of Courses with 21-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
K	15	5	6	
1	10	6		
2	15	5	4	
3	15	7	4	
4	13	6	2	
5	18	4	6	

## 2022-23 Secondary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2022-23 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Courses” indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-22 Students	Number of Courses with 23-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	16	7	5	1
Mathematics	19	4	5	0
Science	20	3	5	0
Social Science	21	3	6	0

## 2023-24 Secondary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2023-24 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Courses” indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-22 Students	Number of Courses with 23-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
English Language Arts	15	4	2	
Mathematics	19	2	2	
Science	21	1	2	
Social Science	21	1	2	

## 2024-25 Secondary Average Course Size and Course Size Distribution

This table displays the 2024-25 average course size and course size distribution. The columns titled “Number of Courses” indicates how many Courses fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Subject	Average Course Size	Number of Courses with 1-22 Students	Number of Courses with 23-32 Students	Number of Courses with 33+ Students
English Language Arts				
Mathematics				
Science				
Social Science				

## 2024-25 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

## 2024-25 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. The "Other" category is for all other student support services staff positions not listed.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
<b>Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)</b>	
<b>Library Media Teacher (Librarian)</b>	
<b>Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)</b>	
<b>Psychologist</b>	1
<b>Social Worker</b>	
<b>Nurse</b>	
<b>Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist</b>	1
<b>Resource Specialist (non-teaching)</b>	
<b>Other</b>	5.6

## Fiscal Year 2023-24 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
<b>School Site</b>	\$23,231	\$11,044	\$12,187	\$87,747
<b>District</b>	N/A	N/A	\$12,840	\$98,083
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and District</b>	N/A	N/A	-5.2	-8.8
<b>State</b>	N/A	N/A	\$11,146	\$101,700
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and State</b>	N/A	N/A	12.3	-9.3

## Fiscal Year 2024-25 Types of Services Funded

### Federal Funding:

Title I: Basic Grants Low Income  
 Title I: Migrant Education  
 Special Education  
 Title II: Part A Teacher Quality  
 Title III: Limited English Proficiency  
 Medi-Cal Billing Option

### State Funding:

After School Education and Safety ("ASES")  
 Lottery: Instructional Materials  
 Special Education

## Fiscal Year 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2023-24 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$64,041	\$61,597
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$97,976	\$98,902
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$124,633	\$126,340
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$160,238	\$158,383
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$160,238	\$165,207
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>		\$162,237
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$275,000	\$288,332
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	26.06%	31.29%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	8.11%	5.38%

## Professional Development

### 2025-26

During the 2025–26 school year, the district implemented a comprehensive professional development plan aligned to instructional priorities and adopted curricula. Middle school teachers (grades 6–8) received training on the newly adopted Illustrative Mathematics curriculum, while K–2 teachers participated in professional development focused on implementing math centers and using math assessments to better support student learning. Teachers in grades 3–5, as well as 6–8 Social Studies and Science teachers, engaged in training through the California Reading and Literature Project (CRLP). Additionally, 6–8 English Language Arts teachers received training in ECRW. New teachers across grades K–8 were provided professional development on the Language Power curriculum.

School leaders engaged in ongoing professional development through Solution Tree, with an emphasis on establishing and leading effective Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) at their sites. Six school sites also participated in PBIS training provided through a partnership between Santa Clara County and San Mateo County Offices of Education. Administrators received training on best practices from the California English Learner Roadmap and the ELD Framework through Komir Minds. This training also supported targeted professional development at selected school sites to enhance instructional practices for English learners.

### 2024-25

The focus for our districtwide Professional Development for this year continues to be training staff on adopted K-5 mathematics curriculum of Illustrative Math as well as the new Supplemental English Learning Development Curriculum from Language Power for K-8. In addition, professional development for grades 3-8 is focused on Integrated English Learner Development strategies. All TK-8 teachers participated in professional development in Student Goal-Setting Conferences. Administrators, Reading Intervention Teachers, and Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSA) have received training through the California Reading and Literacy Project to implement Professional Learning Cycles at the school sites.

District staff and administrators continue to have monthly meetings to align the RCSD Student Learner Profile with our district commitment to the implementation of the Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) through trainings focused on inclusive practices, welcoming environments, and establishing an equity stance. The MTSS focus is to create alignment for Tier 1 practices as well as Tier 2 interventions. District Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) collaborate with administrators and district instructional coaches to support the MTSS work. MTSS TOSAs continue to work at the site level with administration and staff to strengthen lesson planning to address Tier 1 practices.

The district continues to focus on RCSD Student Learner Profile which began in 2021-22. We continue to focus on developing the teaches lens of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) for all teachers.

### 2023-24

## Professional Development

The focus for our districtwide Professional Development for this year is training staff on adopted new Math curriculum of Illustrative Math for K-5, new reading initiative based on Science of Reading and working with California Reading and Literacy Project and assessments for all TK-5th as well as the new Supplemental English Learning Development Curriculum from Language Power for K-8. In addition, the middle school professional development is focused on Universal Design for Learning (UDL). All TK-8 teachers participated in professional development in Student Goal-Setting Conferences, which took place in October.

We continue to build our RCSD Student Learner profile, with the addition of "creative communicators" to the profile, which began in 2021-22. This school year's focus for Empowered Learner is Universal Design for Learning (UDL). STEAM professional development continues to build a focus on creating next generation innovators through our STEAM initiative and collaboration with Stanford.

District staff and administrators continue to have monthly meetings to align the RCSD Student Learner Profile with our district commitment to the implementation of the Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) through trainings focused on inclusive practices, welcoming environments, and establishing an equity stance. MTSS focus is create alignment for Tier 1 practices as well as Tier 2 interventions. District Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSAs) collaborate with administrators and district instructional coaches to support the MTTSS work. MTSS TOSAs continue to work at the site level with administration and staff to strengthen lesson planning to address Tier 1 practices.

In addition to this MTSS and Student Learner profile work, District TOSAs work 1-1 and with grade level teacher teams to strengthen lesson planning in each subject area that is inclusive of all students, including those with special needs and those who are multilingual learners. Encouraging students to make their thinking visible and construct knowledge together in small groups is a focus of many of these coaching sessions.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	5	5	5